

Econometrics Practice

Date: 07/02/2007

OUTLINE

1. Delta Method+CLT
2. Adding one observation to the information set
3. Consistency of s^2
4. Asymptotics for trend stationary linear model

1. Review Question 5 of Section 2.1: Delta Method+CLT

Let $\{z_i\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d random variables. with $E(z_i)=\mu \neq 0$ and $\text{var}(z_i)=\sigma^2$ and let also be \bar{z}_n the sample mean, i.e. $\bar{z}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i$. Show that

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}_n} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \rightarrow_d N \sim \left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^4}\right)$$

Proof. We know from *Lindeberg-Levy CLT* that given i.i.d $\{z_i\}$, $E(z_i)=\mu$ and $\text{var}(z_i)=\Sigma$,

$$CLT : \sqrt{n}(\bar{z}_n - \mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - \mu) \rightarrow_d N \sim (0, \Sigma)$$

We also know from *Lemma 2.5 (Delta Method)* that given a continuous function $a(\cdot)$

$$\sqrt{n}(a(\bar{z}_n) - a(z)) \rightarrow_d A(z)z$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{z}_n &\rightarrow_p z \\ A(z) &= \frac{\partial a(z)}{\partial z^T} \end{aligned}$$

Exploiting these two theorems we can see that, since

$$\begin{aligned} a(\bar{z}_n) &= \frac{1}{\bar{z}_n} \\ a(\mu) &= \frac{1}{\mu} \\ A(\mu) &= \frac{\partial a(\mu)}{\partial \mu} = -\frac{1}{\mu^2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sqrt{n}(a(\bar{z}_n) - a(z)) \rightarrow_d -\frac{1}{\mu^2}N \sim (0, \sigma^2)$$

bringing $A(\mu)$ into the variance term we complete the proof

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}_n} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \rightarrow_d N \sim \left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^4}\right)$$

2. Review Question 8 of Section 2.2: Revision of expectations is m.d.s

Let $\{y_i\}$ be a process such that $E(y_i|y_{i-1}, y_{i-2}, \dots, y_1) < \infty$, i.e. exists and finite. Define $r_i^1 = E(y_i|y_{i-1}, y_{i-2}, \dots, y_1) - E(y_i|y_{i-2}, y_{i-3}, \dots, y_1)$, i.e. r_i^1 is the change in expectation as one more observation is added to the information set. Show that $\{r_i^1\}$ ($i \geq 2$) is a martingale difference sequence w.r.t $\{y_i\}$.

Proof. So we have to show that $E(r_i^1|r_{i-1}^1, r_{i-2}^1, \dots, r_2^1) = 0$, $i \geq 3$. First we define the information sets $(y_{i-1}, y_{i-2}, \dots, y_1) := Y_{-1}$ and $(y_{i-2}, y_{i-3}, \dots, y_1) := Y_{-2}$. Note that

$$(r_{i-1}^1, r_{i-2}^1, \dots, r_2^1) \subset Y_{-2}$$

By Law of iterated expectations(L.i.E)

$$E(r_i^1|r_{i-1}^1, r_{i-2}^1, \dots, r_2^1) = E(E(r_i^1|Y_{-2})|r_{i-1}^1, r_{i-2}^1, \dots, r_2^1)$$

We need to show that $E(r_i^1|Y_{-2}) = 0$.

Since

$$E(r_i^1|Y_{-2}) = E(E(y_i|Y_{-1}) - E(y_i|Y_{-2})|Y_{-2})$$

Since

$$Y_{-2} \subset Y_{-1}$$

Again by L.i.E and linearity of conditional expectation

$$E(y_i|Y_{-2}) - E(y_i|Y_{-2}) = 0.$$

3. Consistency of s^2 (Variance of the residuals)

Let $e_i = y_i - x_i^T \hat{\beta}$ be the OLS residuals for the i^{th} observation. Under the assumptions 2.1-2.4 and provided that $E(\varepsilon_i^2) < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 &= \frac{1}{n-K} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2 \rightarrow_p E(\varepsilon_i^2) \\ s^2 &= \frac{n}{n-K} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{n} e_i^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

To show that s^2 is a consistent estimate of $E(\varepsilon_i^2)$ it suffices to show that the sample mean of e_i^2 , ie. $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2$ converges in probability to $E(\varepsilon_i^2)$, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{n-K} = 1$. To show consistency it is a good practice to express the residual i.t.o sampling error and ε_i , because then we can exploit the consistency of the coefficient estimator to show our claim. So, using add/subtract trick

$$\begin{aligned} e_i &= y_i - x_i^T \hat{\beta} = y_i - x_i^T \hat{\beta} + x_i^T \beta - x_i^T \beta = \\ &= y_i - x_i^T \beta - x_i^T (\hat{\beta} - \beta) = \\ &= \varepsilon_i - x_i^T (\hat{\beta} - \beta) \end{aligned}$$

then we take the square of the residual

$$e_i^T e_i = \varepsilon_i^2 - 2(\hat{\beta} - \beta)^T x_i \varepsilon_i + (\hat{\beta} - \beta)^T x_i x_i^T (\hat{\beta} - \beta)$$

summing over i and multiplying with $\frac{1}{n}$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^2 - 2(\hat{\beta} - \beta)^T \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varepsilon_i + \frac{1}{n} (\hat{\beta} - \beta)^T \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^T (\hat{\beta} - \beta)$$

We want to show that the second and the third term in the above equation converge to 0, which will complete the proof. Recall the notation that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varepsilon_i \\ S_{xx} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^T \end{aligned}$$

then we can express it as

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^2 - 2(\hat{\beta} - \beta)^T \bar{g} + (\hat{\beta} - \beta)^T S_{xx} (\hat{\beta} - \beta)$$

Recall from the assumption 2.2, that $\{y_i, x_i\}$ is jointly stationary and ergodic. (Also any measurable function $f(y_i, x_i)$ is ergodic and stationary). So we will exploit the Ergodic Theorem and the assumption 2.3, predetermined regressors, that $E(g_i) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{plim } \bar{g} &= E(g_i) = 0 \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^T &\rightarrow_p \Sigma_{xx} = E(x_i x_i^T) \Rightarrow \text{plim } S_{xx} = \Sigma_{xx} \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\hat{\beta} - \beta = S_{xx}^{-1} \bar{g}$$

by lemma 2.3(a)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{plim}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) &= \Sigma_{xx}^{-1}0 = 0 \\ \text{plim}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)^T \bar{g} &= \text{plim}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)^T \text{plim} \bar{g} = 0 \\ \text{plim}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)^T S_{xx}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) &= \text{plim}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)^T \text{plim} S_{xx} \text{plim}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) = 0\end{aligned}$$

4. Asymptotics for trend stationary linear model

Assume we have the following model

$$y_t = \alpha + \delta t + \varepsilon_t$$

where by assumption $\{\varepsilon_t\}$ is independent white noise. The model can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned}y_t &= x_t^T \beta + \varepsilon_t \\ x_t^T &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ t \end{bmatrix}, \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \delta \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

then the OLS estimate of β based on the sample size T will be

$$\hat{\beta}_T = \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t y_t \right)$$

(in order to avoid confusion " ' " is used instead of superscript "T" to indicate transpose.) Then i.t.o sampling error

$$\hat{\beta}_T - \beta = \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t \varepsilon_t \right)$$

explicitly written

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_T - \alpha \\ \hat{\delta}_T - \delta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{t=1}^T 1 & \sum_{t=1}^T t \\ \sum_{t=1}^T t & \sum_{t=1}^T t^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t \\ \sum_{t=1}^T t \varepsilon_t \end{bmatrix}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{t=1}^T t &= \frac{T(T+1)}{2} \\ \sum_{t=1}^T t^2 &= \frac{T(T+1)(2T+1)}{6}\end{aligned}$$

we can write S_{xx} as follows

$$S_{xx} = \begin{bmatrix} T & \frac{T(T+1)}{2} \\ \frac{T(T+1)}{2} & \frac{T^3}{3} + \frac{T^2}{2} + \frac{T}{6} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since x_t is not a stationary process, $\frac{S_{xx}}{T}$ does not converge in probability to a nonsingular matrix, it diverges. Consider the general convergence formula

$$\frac{1}{T^{\mu+1}} \sum_{t=1}^T t^\mu \rightarrow_p \frac{1}{\mu+1}$$

then

$$\frac{1}{T^3} S_{xx} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

which is a singular matrix.

It turns out that the OLS estimates are consistent but have different rates of convergence; the rate of convergence is \sqrt{T} for $\hat{\alpha}_T$ and $\sqrt{T^3}$ for $\hat{\delta}_T$. To assign the different rates of convergence to the elements of $\hat{\beta}_T - \beta$ we define the following matrix

$$\Phi_T = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{T} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{T^3} \end{bmatrix}$$

and postmultiply

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_T(\hat{\beta}_T - \beta) &= \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{T}(\hat{\alpha}_T - \alpha) \\ \sqrt{T^3}(\hat{\delta}_T - \delta) \end{bmatrix} = \Phi_T(S_{xx})^{-1} S_{x\varepsilon} \\ \text{since } \mathbf{I} &= \Phi_T \Phi_T^{-1} \\ &= \Phi_T(S_{xx})^{-1} \Phi_T \Phi_T^{-1} S_{x\varepsilon} \\ &= (\Phi_T^{-1} S_{xx} \Phi_T^{-1})^{-1} (\Phi_T^{-1} S_{x\varepsilon}) \\ &= Q_n^{-1} v_n \\ Q_n &= (\Phi_T^{-1} S_{xx} \Phi_T^{-1}) \text{ and } v_n = (\Phi_T^{-1} S_{x\varepsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

if we substitute the values above using the definitions

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{T^3}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{t=1}^T 1 & \sum_{t=1}^T t \\ \sum_{t=1}^T t & \sum_{t=1}^T t^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{T^3}} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T 1 & T^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^T t \\ T^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^T t & T^{-3} \sum_{t=1}^T t^2 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow_{T \rightarrow \infty} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} = Q \end{aligned}$$

so Q_n converges to Q which not zero, not infinite and nonsingular.

On the other hand

$$v_n = (\Phi_T^{-1} S_{x\varepsilon}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{T^3}} \sum_{t=1}^T t \cdot \varepsilon_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T t \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_t}{T} \end{bmatrix}$$

We have by assumption that $E(\varepsilon_t) = 0$ (independent white noise), we will show that $t \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_t}{T}$ is a m.d.s as follows

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(t \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_t}{T} \middle| \frac{t-1}{T} \varepsilon_{t-1}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{T}\right) &= \frac{t}{T} E(\varepsilon_t | \varepsilon_{t-1}, \dots, \varepsilon_1) = 0 \\ \text{var}\left(t \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_t}{T}\right) &= \frac{t^2}{T^2} \sigma^2 = E\left[\left(\frac{t}{T} \varepsilon_t\right)^2\right] = \sigma_t^2 \end{aligned}$$

We would like to show that the LLN on σ_t^2 implies ($b \rightarrow_p c$ and $a \rightarrow_{m.s} b \Rightarrow a \rightarrow_p c$, $\text{msc}: z_n \rightarrow_{m.s} \alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(z_n - \alpha)^2 = 0$)

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \sigma_t^2 = \sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{T^3} \right) \sum_{t=1}^T t^2 \rightarrow \frac{\sigma^2}{3}$$

To prove this claim

$$\begin{aligned} &= E \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\left(\frac{t}{T} \varepsilon_t \right) \right]^2 - \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \sigma_t^2 \right)^2 = \\ &= E \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\left(\frac{t}{T} \varepsilon_t \right) \right]^2 - \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{t^2}{T^2} \sigma^2 \right)^2 = \\ &= E \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{t^2}{T^2} (\varepsilon_t^2 - \sigma^2) \right)^2 = \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{T} \right)^2 \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{t^4}{T^4} E(\varepsilon_t^2 - \sigma^2)^2 = \end{aligned}$$

T times the above formula converges to (by convergence rate formula)

$$\left(\frac{1}{T} \right) \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{t^4}{T^4} E(\varepsilon_t^2 - \sigma^2)^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{6} E(\varepsilon_t^2 - \sigma^2)^2$$

implying that the term itself converges to 0

$$\frac{1}{T^6} \sum_{t=1}^T t^4 E(\varepsilon_t^2 - \sigma^2)^2 \rightarrow 0$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\left(\frac{t}{T} \varepsilon_t \right) \right]^2 &\rightarrow_{m.s} \left(\frac{t^2}{T^2} \sigma^2 \right) \\ \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\left(\frac{t}{T} \varepsilon_t \right) \right]^2 &\rightarrow_P \frac{\sigma^2}{3} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{t}{T} \varepsilon_t \rightarrow_d N \sim \left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{3} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$v_n = (\Phi_T^{-1} S_{x\varepsilon}) = \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{T^3}} \sum_{t=1}^T t \cdot \varepsilon_t \end{array} \right] \rightarrow_d N \sim \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \sigma^2 Q \right)$$

Thus the asymptotic distribution of $\Phi_T(\hat{\beta}_T - \beta)$ is normal with mean 0 and variance $Q^{-1} \sigma^2 Q \cdot Q^{-1} = \sigma^2 Q^{-1}$. So, we have proved the proposition 2.11 (OLS estimation of time regression).